WA DOT

January 27 – February 3, 2006 N=1000; M.O.E. ± 3.1 points EMC #06-3435

All numbers are reported as percentages unless otherwise noted. Some questions may add up to more/less than 100% due to rounding.

2.	COUNTY King Pierce Snohomish	58 % 22 % 20 %
4.	SEX (RECORD FROM OBSERVATION) Male	47 %
	Female	53 %
	Hello, my name is . May I speak to (NAME ON LIST). Hello, my name is and I'm taking a survey for We're trying to find out how aget ("PYEW-jet") Sound region feel about some of the issues facing them. This arketing call, I'd like to ask you about your opinion on some issues on a strictly cor	is not a sales or
5.	Are you registered to vote at this address? Yes>CONTINUE No> (TERMINATE)	100 %
6.	Do you feel things in the Puget Sound Region are generally going in the right dir you feel things have gotten off on the wrong track?	ection, or do
	Right direction	44 %
	Wrong track	42 %
	(Don't know)	14 %

7. What do you think is the most important problem facing the Puget Sound region today? (**ONE RESPONSE**)

24 %
23 %
5 %
5 %
4 %
4 %
4 %
4 %
3 %
3 %
2 %
2 %
2 %
11%

Now I'd like to ask you about transportation spending in Washington State,

8. As you may know, plans are being made for a regional package of transportation investments. Please tell me which comes closest to your view.

A transportation package should invest in roads and mass transit,	
but a much larger share should be devoted to roads to be effective	30
Any transportation package must balance investments	
in roads and mass transit to be effective	29
Any transportation package should invest in roads and mass transit,	
but a much larger share should be devoted to mass transit to be effective	35
(Other/Undecided)	6

9. One proposal for a regional transportation package would spend about six billion dollars on mass transit and seven billion dollars on roads. It would extend light rail to the Eastside, to Northgate, and to a few miles past of SeaTac Airport, and add more regional buses and more commuter rail service. It would replace the five twenty bridge and the Alaskan way viaduct, add lanes to eye four oh five on the Eastside, and extend H oh vee lanes on one sixty seven. It would also complete two missing freeway sections; the extension of five oh nine between Sea Tac and eye five, and the extension of one sixty seven between ('pew-ALL-up') Puyallup and Tacoma. The package will also replace and widen the ('hue-IT') Hewitt avenue trestle on highway two, and widen state route nine from Clearwater to Lake Stevens. In general, would you support or oppose this package? (IF SUPPORT) Would that be strongly or somewhat support? (IF OPPOSE) Would that be strongly or somewhat oppose?

Strongly Support	36	
Somewhat Support	30	=> 66
Somewhat Oppose	9	
Strongly Oppose	16	=> 25
(Undecided/DK)	9	

10. Whether you support or oppose it, what do you think is the best feature of this pack						
	More transit/More transportation	19 %				
	Light Rail/Expansion of	15 %				
	Fix highways/More lanes/Expansion of	13 %				
	Build more roads/Ring road/Reconstruction of					
	AK viaduct replacement					
	Nothing/No best feature	5 %				
	Reconstruction 520 bridge	4 %				
	Whole thing/general positive comments	3 %				
	Wide coverage/Comprehensive/Balanced	3 %				
	Will ease traffic	2 %				
	Will speed up finishing freeways	2 %				
	Other/DK/Refused	17 %				
11.	Based on what you have heard, which is closest to your view?					
	The package is a good balance of roads and transit investments	35				
	The package needs more mass transit	28				
	This package needs more roads	28				
	(Don't Know/Undecided)	9				

12. And again, whether you support or oppose it, do you think this package will help fight traffic congestion in the Puget Sound, or will it not help? (IF HELP) Do you think it will help a great deal or be somewhat helpful? (IF NOT HELP) Do you think it will not help at all or will it not help much?

Help a Great Deal	30
Somewhat helpful	45 => 75
Will not help much	10
Will not help at all	8 => 18
(Other/Undecided)	6

I'd like to tell you more about the mass transit and road components of this package.

I will first ask you about

[BEGIN SECTION ROTATE]

[ROTATE SECTION 1 AND 2]

[BEGIN SECTION 1]

the mass transit items in this package. After each one, I'd like you to tell me how high a priority that item is to you, using a scale of one to seven, where one means that item is the lowest priority for you, and seven means that item is the highest priority for you.

SCAL		1	2 mgnes	3	4	5	6	7	8	Mean
50112		Lowes	st priori	ty		High	est prio	rity	(DK)	1110411
	ORE E		This pac	ckage)						
13.	will e	xtend li	ght rail a	across eye nine	ty to connect l	Redmon	d throu	gh Bellev	ue to Seattle	
		18	6	7	8	17	14	28	2	4.60
14.	will e	xtend lis	ght rail i	north to Northg	rate					
		20	8	8	11	16	12	22	2	4.25
15.	will e	xtend li	ght rail a	a few miles sou	th of Sea Tac	Airport				
		21	8	10	9	18	10	21	2	4.12
16.	will a	dd more	e park ar	nd ride lots and	transit centers	s throug	hout the	eregion		
		10	5	7	14	23	17	23	2	4.78
17.	will e	xpand e	xpress b	ous service bety	ween suburban	cities a	nd urba	n centers		
		9	4	9	11	22	18	25	2	4.90
18.	will in	ncrease	commut	er rail trips du	ring the workd	ay betw	een Eve	erett and	Seattle	
		16	7	8	10	19	16	22	2	4.48
19.	will in	ncrease	commut	er rail trips du	ring the workd	ay betw	een Lak	xewood a	nd Seattle	
		18	9	9	10	19	13	15	6	4.10
(END	(END RANDOMIZE)									

[END SECTION 1]

Now I would like to ask you about

[BEGIN SECTION 2]

the roads items in this package. After each one, I'd like you to tell me how high a priority that item is to you, using a scale of one to seven, where one means that item is the lowest priority for you, and seven means that item is the highest priority for you.

N = 1000

SCAL	Æ:	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Mean
		Lowest priority				Highest priority			(DK)	
	ORE EA		This pa	ckage)						
20.	-	-	-	and the five two	•		er lanes,	a pedes	trian and bic	ycle
				w h oh vee lan	e in each dire 12		17	25	3	4.70
2.1		11	6	6		19	17	25	3	4.79
21.	-	place ti 27	ne Alask	kan way viadu 7	ct with a tunn	el 14	10	23	4	4.02
		21	/	1	8	14	10	23	4	4.03
22.	will ad	d two l	anes in	each direction	to eye four o	h five b	etween l	North R	enton and Be	ellevue
		10	5	7	11	18	17	29	2	4.94
23.				te route one six					Kent, and ma	ake
	iiipio,	9	5	9	12	20	16	25	3	4.80
24.	will ex Sumne		e h oh v	vee lanes on st	ate route one	sixty sev	ven fron	n Aubur	n to ('SUM-	ner')
		15	8	14	13	19	11	16	4	4.13
25.	will bu	ild the	new cro	oss base highw	ay in Pierce C	County 1	between	state ro	oute seven and	d eye five
		16	11	14	14	14	6	12	12	3.74
26.	will co	mplete		ssing segment					Puyallup and	Tacoma
		12	8	12	13	16	14	20	5	4.43
27.		-		ssing segment eye five from					-	rt and eye
	,	9	6	8	14	22	16	21	3	4.74
28.				h direction to a Orting and S		e sixty t	wo and	make in	itersection im	provements
		21	13	16	12	13	6	9	10	3.40
29.	will ad	d more	access	points to porti	ons of eye fiv	e in Sou	uth Snoł	nomish	County	
		14	9	15	16	18	11	11	6	3.99
30.	will wi	den sta	ite route	e nine and imp	rove intersect	ions fro	m Clear	water to	Lake Stever	ıs
		19	11	13	13	14	9	12	9	3.76
31.	-	-		en the ('hue-I'				_	•	
		16	12	14	12	14	9	14	9	3.84

(END RANDOMIZE)

[END SECTION 2] [END ROTATE SECTION]

32. Given what you have heard, would you support or oppose the regional transportation package I have described? (IF SUPPORT) Would that be strongly or somewhat support? (IF OPPOSE) Would that be strongly or somewhat oppose?

Strongly Support	37	
Somewhat Support	34	=> 71
Somewhat Oppose	9	
Strongly Oppose	12	=> 21
(Undecided/DK)	8	

33. And again, whether you support or oppose it, do you think this package will help fight traffic congestion in the Puget Sound, or will it not help? (IF HELP) Do you think it will help a great deal or be somewhat helpful? (IF NOT HELP) Do you think it will not help at all or will it not help much?

Help a Great Deal	38	
Somewhat helpful	41	=> 79
Will not help much	11	
Will not help at all	6	=> 17
(Other/Undecided)	4	

34. One proposal to pay for this package would include the following: An increase of five tenths of one percent in sales taxes, which is five cents for every purchase of ten dollars, tolls on the new five twenty bridge and the Alaskan Way viaduct, and an increase of eight tenths of one percent in the motor vehicle tax based on the value of your vehicle, which costs eighty dollars a year for a ten thousand dollar car. Tolls will be collected by an electronic system that will not slow down traffic. Knowing this, would you support or oppose this transportation package? (IF SUPPORT) Would that be strongly or somewhat oppose?

Strongly Support	24	
Somewhat Support	27	=> 51
Somewhat Oppose	15	
Strongly Oppose	30	=>45
(Undecided/DK)	4	

34A. Some people say we should pay for this transportation plan entirely through tolls. These tolls would vary depending on the time of day. On average, a toll for a single driver would be five to ten cents per mile. Supporters say tolls make more sense because people only pay for what they use; tolls can be collected without slowing down traffic; and the higher cost at peak times will encourage people to prioritize their travel, which will help manage traffic congestion. Opponents say tolls are unfair because they result in double taxation for roads; they penalize people who have to drive at peak times for work and people who can't afford to live close to work;, and they will hurt our economy by making travel more expensive. Would you support or oppose using only tolls, and not raising any other taxes, to pay for this transportation package? (IF SUPPORT) Would that be strongly support or somewhat support? (IF OPPOSE) Would that be strongly oppose or somewhat oppose?

Strongly Support	28	
Somewhat Support	15	=> 43
Somewhat Oppose	15	
Strongly Oppose	39	=> 54
(Undecided/DK)	3	

35. Now I'm going to read you two choices for replacing the Alaskan Way Viaduct, and I'd like you to tell me which one you prefer. (IF UNDECIDED: Well, which one do you lean towards?)

The Alaskan Way Viaduct should be replaced with a similar structure	40	
(Lean replace with similar)	4	=>44
OR		
The Alaskan Way Viaduct should be replaced with a tunnel along		
Seattle's waterfront that has the same capacity as the current viaduct	39	
(Lean tunnel)	2	=>41
(Other)	2	
(Undecided)	12	
(Refused)	1	

36. Some people say that the Viaduct should be rebuilt with a similar structure. They say a rebuild is much cheaper, doesn't require more taxes, is much less likely to have cost overruns like a tunnel might, and would retain the views of the Sound and Olympics for drivers. Others say that the tunnel isn't much more expensive, but is worth it because it will remove the barrier between Seattle's waterfront and downtown, and will reduce traffic noise around the waterfront. Given this, do you think (IF UNDECIDED: Well, which one do you lean towards?)

The Alaskan Way Viaduct should be replaced with a similar structure	50	
(Lean replace with similar)	2	=> 52
OR		
The Alaskan Way Viaduct should be replaced with a tunnel along Seattle's		
waterfront that has the same traffic carrying capacity as the current viaduct	37	
(Lean tunnel)	2	=> 39
(Other)	1	
(Undecided)	8	

37. In order to build light rail to the Eastside, the reversible lanes across eye ninety would need to be converted for train only operation; cars and buses would no longer be able to travel on the reversible lanes. An h oh vee lane would be added in each direction to the main bridge deck to compensate, but travel times between Bellevue and Seattle would increase by up to fifteen minutes for people driving alone during peak commute times. When it is completed, light rail would run every seven minutes at peak times between Redmond, Bellevue, and Seattle, and it would take thirty minutes to ride the line from one end to the other. Knowing this, should light rail be installed in reversible lanes, or not? (IF UNDECIDED) Well, which way do you lean, towards installing light rail or not installing light rail?

Light Rail should be installed	64	
(Lean light rail should be installed)	2	=> 66
(Lean Light Rail should NOT be installed)	1	
Light Rail should NOT be installed	24	=> 25
(Don't Know/Undecided)	9	
(Refused)	1	

38. Some people have said we have too many transportation agencies in the area, which means it's difficult to know who's accountable for what projects. They say consolidation would increase efficiency and accountability. Others say unifying these agencies is a mistake. They say it will delay projects and increase costs. They also say current local agencies increase accountability because local citizens know who to talk to about problems. If unifying these agencies were part of the package we've been talking about, would you be more likely or less likely to support it? (IF MORE LIKELY OR LESS LIKELY: Would that be (MUCH OR SOMEWHAT) (MORE OR LESS) likely?)

Much more likely	26	
Somewhat more likely	30	=> 56
Somewhat less likely	13	
Much less likely	13	=> 26
(Don't know)	13	
(No difference)	6	

Now, I'd like to ask you some questions for statistical purposes only.

39. How often do you travel to work or appointments outside your home in an average week? Is it

Every day	29
Five days a week	31
A few days a week	22
Only occasionally	17
(Don't Know/Refused)	2

40. What is your age? (READ CODES IF NECESSAR)	40.	What is your age?	(READ CODES IF NECESSARY
---	-----	-------------------	--------------------------

That is your age: (IEIID CODES II TIECESSIIII)	
18-24	2
25-29	3
30-34	3
35-39	7
40-44	10
45-49	10
50-54	15
55-59	12
60-64	10
Over 65	24
(Refused)	2